

Appendix 2 - Local List Criteria and Selection Process Consultation Summary Report

This report was generated on 10/01/17 following 6 weeks public consultation regarding proposed Local List, Criteria and Selection Process. Overall, 83 respondents completed this questionnaire.

Do you think Nottingham City Council should hold a Local List?

Response	Number	%
Yes	80	98
No	2	2

Please explain your response to the question

Consultation Response Themes	NCC RESPONSE
A local list would help to recognise local peoples' heritage - To identify and acknowledge hidden gems in the City	
Good for learning and tourism - Sell Nottingham to the world	
It would present a barrier to development.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In cases of demolition the future development of the site would be subject to planning permission therefore including the proposed demolition in the planning application can enable due consideration at the start rather than end of the planning process • Mediate issues that might come forward later where the development could be controversial • The heritage of Nottingham is key to Placemaking and creating a place that people chose to live in or visit boosting the local economy as demonstrated in the Nottingham Heritage Strategy, Heritage Counts and HLF reports.
Listed building regime already exists. What additional benefits does a local list bring (and costs)?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listed Buildings selection processes do not include what is of Local importance as they are a designation of national importance. This means a great number of locally significant

	buildings that add greatly to the city's character and history remain unrecognised and have no safeguard.
Nottingham's heritage is very important. Once lost, historic buildings are lost forever.	
We have some great spaces/ buildings/ monuments which should be preserved for the people of Nottingham as they have a significance beyond the national criteria To protect local character - Great cities need to have a clear identity	

Do you think Nottingham City Council should require owners to apply for planning permission for the demolition of Locally Listed Buildings or structures outside conservation areas?

Response	Number	%
Yes	77	95
No	4	5

Please explain your response to the question

Consultation Response Themes	NCC RESPONSE
This is a key protection to avoid the summary demolition of buildings	
Future growth and prosperity depend on the socio-political environment being agile and responsive to change. The list would have to be properly managed so people / buyers/owners are made aware of this prior to the property purchase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the vast majority of cases planning permission is required as part of comprehensive redevelopment of a site • By requiring planning permission, the balancing exercise between public benefits can be considered at the start of the planning process rather than applications causing controversy for applicants and decision-makers at the end of the planning process. • The process of selection and adoption would be rigorous, open and subject to consultation with owners.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protection for Locally Listed Heritage Assets would be equalised with that inside conservation areas
It is important that proper consideration is made.	
We need to take more care of local buildings and features that are important to local people	
There isn't that much point in having a local list if it doesn't involve some extra protection for the buildings concerned.	
It offers at least some protection to buildings of merit that happen to be outside of conservation areas.	
Yes, but only after the need has been expressed to the owner.	There would be a robust selection process and consultation period with owner and stakeholders

Do you have any comments regarding the Local List Selection Criteria?

Consultation Response Themes	NCC RESPONSE AND CHANGES TO CRITERIA
There are 14 men mentioned and only one woman as historical figures, association with whom would add significance.	This has been reviewed and a more equal balance is included
Geographical criteria are too broad and age criteria should be shifted forward	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The geographical scope is an example within the criteria and very local historic significance does apply. • The age criteria are based on the tried and tested criteria that Historic England use for designation, which enables a period of time to gain a historic perspective on significance. • there are other factors that enable selection of younger buildings
You need to include parks and public open spaces	Designed Landscape criteria includes open spaces for clarity
Historic and significance views should be included so that any changes to these can be considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within the planning process significant views cannot be protected through a Local List • In practice, views are managed through documents such as the Urban Design Guide and within individual applications to protect

	<p>the setting of Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas, which do have weight within the planning process.</p>
<p>Economic, social and sustainability issues must be balanced against desire to protect</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The only additional burden would be the requirement to apply for planning permission, which is required for the wholesale redevelopment of a site already. • Without a Local List buildings can be lost without assurance that there are public benefits on the site that outweigh that loss. • For owners, it should give certainty and enable consideration of heritage issues early in the process.
<p>Seems a well thought out list of criteria but it will still be open to how it is interpreted.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within the planning process, all decisions have to be weighed up between harm and public benefits. • There will be occasions where the public benefits derived by a scheme will outweigh the harm of the loss of a Locally Listed Heritage Asset. • However, the presence of the Local List will enable each case to be carefully considered and heritage value to be part of the balancing exercise.
<p>I feel trees could be included, especially those planted at a specific time or place, or trees over a certain age.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trees are certainly an important part of the historic environment as reflected in the designed landscapes and open spaces part of the criteria. • Individual trees can already have a greater level of protection through Tree Preservation Orders.
<p>my only concern is that the criteria seems to put a lot of emphasis on physical nature and much less on cultural significance</p>	<p>There are criteria for recognising historic association and if there is no remaining fabric the cultural significance might be better recognised through a blue plaque.</p>
<p>Historic transport infrastructure should be included as a category, such as GCR of Nottm Suburban Railway remains, old tram works etc., trackbeds</p>	<p>This has been included in the final criteria</p>

Do you have any comments about the selection and application process for the Local List?

Consultation Response Themes	NCC RESPONSE AND CHANGES TO THE SELECTION AND APPLICATION PROCESS
There is no appeals process? Listing would have significant impact on building owners, rejection impacts the applicant. The process for challenging decisions needs setting out	There is a consultation process when candidates are selected where people can raise objections to listing or the imposition of an Article 4 Direction.
Should include local non-council experts and community representatives? How would conflicts of interest be avoided?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The panel would be made up of members of NCC’s Heritage and Urban Design team, the City Archaeologist, Nottingham Civic Society and Community Representatives including local businesses. • We are keen to maintain the independence of the panel and to reflect different communities. • There would be a Terms of Reference for the Selection Panel as well as a Conflicts of Interest process.
Wishes and sentiments need to be weighed up against economic, social and sustainable realities	
Application is too difficult	NCC are creating the framework for the formal process; however, aligned with this we would like to have dissemination and enhancement of the Local List in an easily understandable way through community groups such as the Nottingham Heritage Partnership and the Nottingham Civic Society.
Who will maintain the list and take decisions on inclusion? Will this remain with Nottingham civic society or become local authority duty?	The adopted list would need to be maintained by NCC as a framework; however, we would like the enhancement of the list to be taken up by heritage groups from all communities.
Possibly nomination by anyone but acceptance by a panel or a regular list of suggestions online or in local library for people to vote on	There would be a consultation period for all of the candidates, which can be put in Local Libraries and would be sent to local stakeholders. The panel would meet on a quarterly basis to consider applications

It is not clear how the selection criteria is to be consistently applied, or how many of the criterion an asset should be expected to meet before it is added to the list.

- The criteria has been tested through a pilot project in Basford and is based on selection principles developed by Historic England over decades.
- There will necessarily be subjective judgements and the selection panel will have to set the bar for Local Listing.
- Having a panel representing different heritage communities should help develop consistency in the application of the list.
- Completeness is an important factor, which is inherent in whether something is a good example of type.